Efficacy of Platelet-Rich Plasma in Alveolar Bone Grafting
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Purpose: In this study, we performed alveolar bone grafting with autologous iliac cancellous bone incorporation with platelet-rich plasma (PRP) and evaluated its efficacy in osteoregeneration.

Materials and Methods: Seven alveolar cleft patients with adult dentition (average age, 16.1 years) underwent iliac bone grafting with PRP. Quantitative evaluation of regenerated bone was made with 3-dimensional computed tomography scans and compared with controls.

Results: The average of the volume ratio of regenerated bone to alveolar cleft in cases with PRP was higher than in controls \((P < .05)\). There were no complications from the blood draw or PRP.

Conclusion: PRP was a safe and cost-effective source for growth factors and was easy to extract. It could enhance the osteogenesis of alveolar bone grafting in cleft lip and palate patients and may useful for subsequent orthodontic therapy.
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Alveolar bone grafting has become an essential process in the treatment of cleft lip and palate.¹ Autologous iliac bone marrow is used preferably because of its sufficient quantity and high osteoinductive potential. However, even with iliac bone, insufficient osteoregeneration may occur due to several factors such as the patient’s age, cleft width, influence of functional stress, and others.²⁻⁴

Platelet-rich plasma (PRP) extracted from autologous whole blood is known to have a number of different growth factors in high concentration.⁵,⁶ Many successful results of the treatment of periodontal diseases with the incorporation with PRP have been reported.⁷⁻¹¹

In this study, we performed tertiary bone grafting (after completion of the second stage of dentition) in alveolar cleft patients using autologous iliac cancellous bone with PRP and evaluated the early results.

Materials and Methods

We have performed iliac cancellous bone grafting with PRP on 23 cleft patients since 2000, including 8 of secondary and 15 of tertiary dental stage. From these patients, we selected 7 alveolar cleft patients in tertiary dental stage who were close in age and had similar teeth eruption. Five patients had unilateral cleft lip and palate, and 2 had unilateral cleft lip and alveolus. The average patient age was 16.1 years old (Table 1). All lacked a lateral incisor tooth at the cleft site.

PRP was extracted during the operation.¹² After anesthesia induction, 40 mL of whole blood was drawn from the patient and separated into 4 sterile pipettes containing anticoagulation agents (citrate, phosphate, dextrose, and adenosine). Each specimen was centrifuged at 160g for 20 minutes and resulted in a red lower fraction and a straw-yellow upper fraction. The entire upper fraction and partial red fraction 6 mm below the dividing line were collected and centrifuged at 400g for 15 minutes. The top yellow serum component was removed, and the remaining substance was the available PRP.

Cancellous iliac bone was harvested, and PRP was mixed with it (Fig 1A). We added human fibrin glue (Beriplast P; Aventis Behring, Tokyo, Japan) to pack PRP into the bone marrow and obtain malleable transplant material (Fig 1B).

Particles of cancellous bone incorporated with PRP were packed into the alveolar cleft and closed with
gingival mucoperiosteal flaps (Fig 1C). All cases resumed with use of an oral retention plate 1 month after the operation with no functional stress exerted onto the grafted region.

Evaluation was done with 3-dimensional computed tomography (CT) before and at 5 or 6 months after the operation. All tomograms parallel to the occlusal plane were scanned in the same manner (120 kV, 150 mA) by 1-mm slice width from the teeth to the infraorbital region. The level and window of each slice were optimally set to allow precise delineation between bone and soft tissue.

We analyzed the volume ratio of regenerated bone to the alveolar cleft using a personal computer and

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Patient</th>
<th>Age (yr)</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>VAC (pixels)</th>
<th>VRB (pixels)</th>
<th>VRB/VAC (%)</th>
<th>Graft</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>UCLP</td>
<td>34,568</td>
<td>29,067</td>
<td>84.09</td>
<td>BM + PRP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>UCLP</td>
<td>48,410</td>
<td>42,273</td>
<td>87.32</td>
<td>BM + PRP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>UCLP</td>
<td>27,775</td>
<td>20,079</td>
<td>72.29</td>
<td>BM + PRP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>UCLP</td>
<td>31,294</td>
<td>25,013</td>
<td>79.93</td>
<td>BM + PRP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>UCLA</td>
<td>47,213</td>
<td>41,622</td>
<td>88.16</td>
<td>BM + PRP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>UCLP</td>
<td>30,125</td>
<td>21,471</td>
<td>71.27</td>
<td>BM + PRP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>UCLA</td>
<td>57,764</td>
<td>45,213</td>
<td>78.27</td>
<td>BM + PRP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>UCLP</td>
<td>46,610</td>
<td>30,022</td>
<td>64.41</td>
<td>BM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>UCLA</td>
<td>29,985</td>
<td>14,234</td>
<td>47.47</td>
<td>BM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>UCLA</td>
<td>64,273</td>
<td>50,115</td>
<td>77.97</td>
<td>BM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>UCLP</td>
<td>35,213</td>
<td>18,250</td>
<td>51.85</td>
<td>BM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>UCLA</td>
<td>54,890</td>
<td>42,084</td>
<td>76.67</td>
<td>BM</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: VAC, volume of alveolar cleft; VRB, volume of regenerated bone; UCLP, unilateral cleft lip and palate; UCLA, unilateral cleft lip and alveolus; BM, bone marrow; PRP, platelet-rich plasma.

FIGURE 1. Platelet-rich plasma (PRP) was added to the particles of cancellous bone chips. Human fibrin glue could consolidate the bone chips and enclose PRP within it. Bone chips with PRP were packed into the alveolar cleft and closed with gingival mucoperiosteal flaps.
common software (Adobe PhotoShop; Adobe, San Jose, CA).

Every CT slice was scanned and recorded by the computer according to the same condition so the preoperative and postoperative films could be compared. Each slice was magnified (200%) with the zoom tool in the software to precisely distinguish and outline the regenerated bone.

On each preoperative tomogram, the area of alveolar cleft (AAC) was outlined freehand by extending the buccal and lingual arch of adjacent maxillary segments and measured in pixel units (Fig 2A). The height from the alveolar crest to the base of the piriform aperture (H) was measured in millimeters (Fig 2B), and the volume of the alveolar cleft (VAC) was calculated by dividing the AAC on each slice by the number of H slices.

Postoperatively (at 5 or 6 months after the operation), area of regenerated bone (ARB) was outlined freehand, and volume of regenerated bone (VRB) was calculated in the same manner as VAC (Fig 3). Two people, who were not the authors, manipulated and evaluated all values, and the average of them was adopted as the results.

Controlled cases were the 5 alveolar cleft patients (3 had unilateral cleft lip and palate and 2 had unilateral cleft lip and alveolus, and lacked a lateral incisor at the cleft site) in the tertiary stage (average age, 16.4 years) (Table 1). They underwent cancellous bone grafting, added with human fibrin glue, without PRP.

**Results**

All patients had an uneventful course postoperatively; results are shown in Table 1. In cases of bone grafting added with PRP, the minimum percentage of VRB/VAC was 71.27% (patient 6) and the maximum was 87.32% (patient 2) (average, 80.19% ± 6.77% [SD]).

In controls, the minimum percentage of VRB/VAC was 47.47% (patient 9) and the maximum was 77.97% (patient 10) (average, 63.67% ± 13.94% [SD]). Mann-Whitney $U$ test revealed statistical significance ($P < .05$) between the groups of PRP patients and controls.

There was no correlation between VAC and VRB/VAC in either group. Therefore, even if the cleft was wide, the result was not necessarily poor in this study.

All 12 patients obtained retention of the alveolar arch and stabilization of the teeth adjacent to the cleft. All oronasal fistulas were closed. Prosthodontic treatments, such as dental implants, bridges, or partial dentures, are scheduled subsequently.

**Discussion**

Alveolar bone grafting is a significant treatment for cleft lip and palate. It may not only induce the tooth eruption but also stabilize the alveolar arch of maxilla. Dental implants are also available in patients with missing teeth.$^{13}$

---

**FIGURE 2.** Area of alveolar cleft (AAC) on each film was measured in pixel unit by encircling the cleft freehand. Height of the cleft (H) was measured in millimeters by referring to the contralateral side. The volume of alveolar crest was calculated by dividing the AAC on each slice by the number of H slices.

**FIGURE 3.** Area of regenerated bone (ARB) was measured as AAC (see Fig 2 legend). Volume was calculated by dividing ARB by the number of H slices (equal to H in Fig 2 legend).
Iliac cancellous bone is a preferable grafting material because it can be harvested easily and sufficiently and has high osteoinductive potential compared with the other materials. However, even with iliac bone marrow, partial absorption and shortage of reconstructed alveolar height or width may develop postoperatively.

One of the important factors for successful osteogenesis is the patient’s dental stage. Secondary bone grafting is considered to be preferable to tertiary grafting, because the older the patients are, the lower the osteogenic activity. In addition, eruption of a tooth into the grafted region is an important advantage in secondary cases.

We assumed that PRP might enhance the osteogenesis of autologous bone and lessen postoperative bone resorption. Seven patients in tertiary stage, grafted with PRP, acquired a markedly high capacity rate of regenerated bone, which was significantly different from controls. Schmitz and Hollinger doubt the effects of PRP because platelet-derived growth factor is inhibitory to osteoblastic cells if delivered in a continuous form and increases bone resorption. In our study, it was revealed that PRP could enhance osteogenesis much more than osteoresorption in a remodeling phase within 6 months after the operation. However, it is unknown for how long (>6 months) PRP exerts an influence on the bone volume in this study.

Without functional stress in the graft, atrophic bone resorption would occur in the long term. None of our patients have implants yet; therefore, it remains to be proved whether PRP makes a significant difference in subsequent implant treatment. Marx et al reported successful results of the reconstruction of the mandibular segment by using PRP. They assessed the bone density on x-ray films in a qualitative analysis. We analyzed the volume of bone regeneration by 3-dimensional CT. Several kinds of quantitative assessment based on CT scans have been reported, such as orbital measurements in enophthalmos, intracranial volume in craniosynostosis, and volume of mandible after distraction. Our method was theoretically similar to them and simpler by means of the use of common graphic software. However, we did not assess the bone density this time. A unified method of evaluation of alveolar bone grafting has not been established as yet, but both qualitative and quantitative assessments should be included.

Extraction technique of PRP, which we adopted in this study, was simple and easy to do during the operation. There were no complications from the blood draw and PRP. Approximately 3 mL of PRP could be obtained from 40 mL of whole blood, and it was sufficient to be mixed into the particles of cancellous bone chips. However, with respect to the enhancement of osteoregeneration, the biologically appropriate concentration of growth factors involved in PRP is still unknown.
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